



# Public Document Pack

Arun District Council  
Civic Centre  
Maltravers Road  
Littlehampton  
West Sussex  
BN17 5LF

Tel: (01903 737500)  
Fax: (01903) 730442  
DX: 57406 Littlehampton  
Minicom: 01903 732765

e-mail: [committees@arun.gov.uk](mailto:committees@arun.gov.uk)

Committee Manager Carley Lavender (Ext 37547)

5 October 2020

## OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Overview Select Committee will be held virtually on the Tuesday 6 October 2020 **at 6.00 pm** and you are requested to attend.

Members: Councillors Northeast (Chairman), English (Vice-Chair), Bennett, Bicknell, B Blanchard-Cooper, Mrs Catterson, Mrs Cooper, Dendle, Dixon, Elkins, Gunner, Huntley, Miss Needs, Miss Seex and Tilbrook

**PLEASE NOTE:** *This meeting will be a 'virtual meeting' and any member of the press and public may listen-in and view the proceedings via a weblink which will be publicised on the Council website at least **24 hours** before the meeting.*

*Different meeting arrangements are in place for the period running from 4 April 2020 to 7 May 2021 from the provisions of the Coronavirus Act 2020 and the meeting regulations 2020, to allow formal 'virtual meetings'.*

*This Council's revised Rules of Procedures for 'virtual meetings' can be found by clicking on this link: <https://www.arun.gov.uk/constitution>*

*For further information on the items to be discussed, please contact: [committees@arun.gov.uk](mailto:committees@arun.gov.uk)*

## AGENDA

### 7. PROPOSED CORRECTION OF OSC MINUTES FROM 10 MARCH 2020 (Pages 1 - 4)

This report sets out the proposed correction to minute 512 of the Overview Select Committee Meeting held on 10 March 2020. The correction is being proposed by Councillor Huntley and is set out in this paper for members to consider. A correction to the minutes can only be made in retrospect by a resolution agreed at Full Council.

Note : Reports are attached for all Members of the Committee only and the press (excluding exempt items). Copies of reports can be obtained on request from the Committee Manager).

Note : Members are reminded that if they have any detailed questions would they please inform the Chairman and/or relevant Director in advance of the meeting.

Note : Filming, Photography and Recording at Council Meetings – The District Council supports the principles of openness and transparency in its decision making and permits filming, recording and the taking of photographs at its meetings that are open to the public. This meeting may therefore be recorded, filmed, or broadcast by video or audio, by third parties. Arrangements for these activities should operate in accordance with guidelines agreed by the Council and as available via the following link – [Filming Policy](#)

## ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL

### REPORT TO AND DECISION OF OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE ON TUESDAY 6 OCTOBER 2020.

**SUBJECT:** Proposed Correction of OSC minutes from 10 March 2020

**REPORT AUTHOR:** Jackie Follis  
**DATE:** 14 September 2020/5 December 2019  
**EXTN:** 37580

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:**

This report sets out the proposed correction to minute 512 of the Overview Select Committee Meeting held on 10 March 2020. The correction is being proposed by Councillor Huntley and is set out in this paper for members to consider. A correction to the minutes can only be made in retrospect by a resolution agreed at Full Council

#### **1. BACKGROUND:**

- 1.1 Following the OSC meeting 10 March 2020 Cllr Huntley raised a concern with the Chairman that the minutes of this meeting were not correct and that his concern was based on Health and Safety grounds
- 1.2 The recording of the meeting on 9 June 2020 at which the minutes were due to be approved shows that Cllr Huntley did raise his concerns about this but brought it up as a 'matter arising' during the vote, rather than when members were invited to comment on the minutes prior to the vote. Whilst there was not a lot of time given to this section of the meeting it is also worth noting that the draft minutes of the meeting were available on the website from 27 March 2020 prior to the meeting held on 9 June and that the formal agenda was published at the normal time in advance of the meeting.
- 1.3 The advice from Acting Monitoring Officer was that once approved minutes can only be changed if the impact of those minutes will open the Council up to a legal challenge or affect the Council's reputation negatively. This would normally only be allowed if a decision was inaccurate and had the potential for these consequences. In this case it should also be noted that there was no decision. The Acting Monitoring Officer confirmed that the only way the minutes could be corrected, in exceptional circumstances, is by a resolution to Full Council. The Chief Executive supported this view.
- 1.4 It is also worth noting that the Constitution at Part 5, Section 3, para 6.1 states:  
  
"The Chairman will sign the minutes of the proceedings at the next meeting. The Chairman will move that the minutes of the previous meeting be signed as a correct record. The only part of the Minutes that can be discussed is their accuracy."
- 1.5 An informal suggestion was made to Cllr Huntley that an informal briefing, giving the background and more detail to members of OSC might be appropriate, especially as Cllr Huntley was keen that members were made aware of more technical background on this,

however Cllr Huntley has confirmed that he wishes to 'correct' minute 512 from OSC on 10 March 2020. The detail of this is set out in Section 2 of this report.

## 2. PROPOSAL(S):

2.1 That the extract from minute 512 from the OSC meeting held on 10 March 2020 is changed as set out below.

### 2.2 Original Minutes 512

Were glyphosate based weed killers used with live phosphates and did they have any plans to cut back or stop or find natural weed killer. It was explained that this work was in its infancy stage. Trials were taking place in terms of what could be used for killing moss – what had to be considered was that reduced phosphates would mean a lesser standard of weed killer. It was outlined that the Council was part of an Amenity Forum looking at advancing weed eradication methodology. There was a need to have chemical weed killers to combat weeds such as Japanese Knotweed. Studies were also in place looking at the knock-on effect of reducing the use of high chemical weed killing versus the machinery used for weed strimming which were classed as a pollutant.

### 2.3 Proposed change to Minute 512 (additions in bold, deletions strike through)

Were glyphosate based weed killers used, with ~~live phosphates~~ **active glyphosate ingredients** and did they have plans to cut back or stop or find natural weed killers. It was explained that this work was in its infancy stage. Trials were taking place over what could be used for killing moss - what **also** had to be considered was that reduced ~~phosphates~~ **glyphosate** use would mean a lesser standard of weed killer. It was outlined that the council was part of an amenity forum looking at advancing weed eradication methodology. There was a need to have chemical weed killers to combat weeds such as Japanese knotweed. Studies were also in place looking at the knock on effect of reducing the use of high doses of chemical weed **killers** killing versus ~~the machinery used for weed strimming which were classed as a pollutant~~ **the mechanical weed controls such as use of strimmers. Chemical weed controls could be classed as pollutants.**

## 3. OPTIONS:

That the Committee recommend to Full council either that:

The minutes from the Overview Select Committee Meeting of 10 March 2020 be approved with no change;

or,

The minutes from the Overview Select Committee Meeting of 10 March 2020 be changed and approved as set out in the proposal.

<b>4. CONSULTATION:</b>		
Has consultation been undertaken with:	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
Relevant Town/Parish Council		√
Relevant District Ward Councillors		√
Other groups/persons (please specify)		√
<b>5. ARE THERE ANY IMPLICATIONS IN RELATION TO THE FOLLOWING COUNCIL POLICIES:</b>		
	<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>
Financial		√
Legal		√
Human Rights/Equality Impact Assessment		√
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act		√
Sustainability		√
Asset Management/Property/Land		√
Technology		√
Other (please explain)		√
<b>6. IMPLICATIONS:</b>		

**7. REASON FOR THE DECISION:**  
 Proposal from a councillor that the minutes from the OSC meeting held on 10 March 2020 are not correct

**8. BACKGROUND PAPERS:**  
 None

This page is intentionally left blank